New Mexico - Tucumcari Field Office									
	FY 200	3 Ranking Cri	iteria	W	/orksheet - Gr	azing	Lands		
Applicant:		Farm No.				_		Date:	
Tribal Land	Non-Tribal La	nd X	Prelimir	nar	rv Rating 0	F	inal Rating	0.00	
					'	U	g		
		1. Plants - 65	Poten	tıa	al Points (25% o	f lotal)			
Note: Instructions on separate sheet			% Area in Contract After Treatment.		Potential Points	Points - Bench Mark	Points - After		
Rangelands:	SI of 76-1 00 w/trend	d up or not apparent		%	+ + =	%	65		
Ecological	SI of 51-75 with upw	ard trend	•	%	+=	%	60		
Site	SI of 51-75 with dow	SI of 51-75 with downward trend		%	+ + =	%	50		
Similarity	SI of 26-50 with upward trend			%	+=	%	40		
Index	SI of 26-50 with dow	nward trend		%	+=	%	30		
(SI)*	SI of 0-25 with upwa	rd trend	•	%	+=	%	20		
	SI of 0-25 with down		•	%	+=	%	0		
Riparian	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	Mark:		%	% Quality After:	%	N/A		
Grazed		% Quality Bench			% Quality After:	0/	N1/A		
Forest:		Mark:		%	T-1-1	400 %		0	•
	'	1. Plants Total	100	%	Total	100 %	Total:	0	0
2	2. Conservation	on Practice(s)	Selec	ti	on - 170 Potent	tial Poir	nts (65% of	f Total)	
Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the EQIP Contract must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans.						Potential	Percent of Need to be Installed	Points - After	
		Soil Erosion							
					Interseed	ding (550)	10		0.00
					Critical Area Treatm	nent (342)	15		0.00
Erosion Control Structures (362), (410						62), (410)	10		0.00
		Water Quantity							0.00
			Bru	ısh	Control Riparian Ar	eas (314)	30		0.00
									0.00
									0.00
Plants								0.00	
Brush Control Heavy (314)						1		0.00	
Brush Control Medium (314)							0.00		
Brush Control Light (314)					1		0.00		
Water Development (516), (614), (642)							0.00		
Fence (382)					25		0.00		
Animals					00		0.00		
Prescribed Grazing (528)							0.00		
Wildlife Water (648)								0.00	
Windbreak (380)						5		0.00	
Air and Water Quality Practices are addressed in the other resource areas 2. Conservation Practice Selection					Total:		0.00		
					Servanno Practico :		· iotal		

Below are some suggested, not required, criteria. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them here.	Potential Points	Points - After
A. At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the species.	15	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	10	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active sec. 319 project.	N/A	
D. This land is within a proposed sec. 319 project.	N/A	
E. Proposed contracted area will be treated to eradicate and/or prevent infestation of Class A and/or Class B noxious weeds, as designated by NMDA.	N/A	
3. Other Considerations	Total:	0.00
Producer's Signature Date		
Designated Conservationist Date		